

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/604,757	08/14/2003	Donald Kenneth Bunnell	BUN.0101	1756	
26505 7.	590 07/29/2005		EXAMINER		
	TENTS & ENGINEERIN E MARSH CIRCLE	HANSEN, JAMES ORVILLE			
BRUNSWICK			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3637	<u> </u>	
				DATE MAILED: 07/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summans	10/604,757	BUNNELL, DONALD KENNETH				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	James O. Hansen	3637				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply 1f NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
·	·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some ★ c) None of:						
<u> </u>						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•						
Attachment(s)						
I) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Page 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/604,757

Art Unit: 3637

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are replete with lack of antecedent basis and phrasing errors. Claim 1 is cited to expound upon the perceived lack of clarity: in lines 8, 15, 17, 31 & 37 the phrase "the sheet" respectively, does not have a proper antecedent basis [should be "the sheet product"]; in line 9, the phrase "the advancing means" is viewed as being dissimilar in scope to the previously defined "means for advancing the sheet..." [applicant should remain consistent with the phraseology i.e., means-plus-function format]; in line 13, the phrase "the advance motor actuation means" is viewed as being dissimilar in scope to the previously defined "means for actuating the advance motor" [applicant should remain consistent with the phraseology i.e., means-plus-function formatl; in lines 19 & 35, the phrase "the cutting means" is viewed as being dissimilar in scope to the previously defined "means for cutting the sheet..." [applicant should remain consistent with the phraseology i.e., means-plus-function format]; in line 23, the phrase "the cut motor controlling means" is viewed as being dissimilar in scope to the previously defined "means for controlling the cut motor" [applicant should remain consistent with the phraseology i.e., means-plus-function format]; in lines 33-34, the phrase "the transverse rod having, at least, one park position at, at least, one end:" is unclear and confusing as presently worded. It appears that the commas (,) could be removed along

with the second occurrence of "at least" to assist in the formation of a clear phrase; in lines 39-40, it appears that the term "cutting" should be inserted after "circular" & "straight" respectively; in line 45, the phrase "the clamping means" is viewed as being dissimilar in scope to the previously defined "means for releasably clamping the sheet…" [applicant should remain consistent with the phraseology i.e., means-plusfunction format]; and in line 46, the phrase "at least one" should be inserted before "park position" so as to be consistent with the earlier recited limitation. Consequently, the remaining claims are rejected, at the very least, because they are dependent upon an indefinite claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Pending further review, consideration and an updated search, Claims 1-20 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hauck, Hamlin and British publication 795,245 describe sheet product roll dispensers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James O. Hansen whose telephone number is 571-272-6866. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 8-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 571-272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James O. Hansen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3637

JOH July 25, 2005